٠ [
1 .	KAMALA D. HARRIS	
2	Attorney General of California DIANN SOKOLOFF Supervision Departs Attorney Congress	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General Susana A. Gonzales	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 253027	
5	1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor P.O. Box 70550	
6	Oakland, CA 94612-0550 Telephone: (510) 622-2221	
7	Facsimile: (510) 622-2270 Attorneys for Complainant	
. 8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF REGISTERED NURSING DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	STATE OF	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 2012-84
12	CONNIE RASMUSSEN WILKINSON, a.k.a. CONNIE SUE RASMUSSEN, a.k.a.	·
13	CONNIE SUE HICKMAN 1661 E. Big Rock Road	ACCUSATION
14	Tucson, AZ 85718 Registered Nurse License No. 275797	
15	Respondent.	
16		
17		
18	Complainant alleges:	
19	PARTIES	
20	1. Louise R. Bailey, M.Ed., RN (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her	
21	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Registered Nursing, Department of	
22	Consumer Affairs.	
23	2. On or about March 31, 1977, the Board of Registered Nursing issued Registered	
24	Nurse License Number 275797 to Connie Rasmussen Wilkinson, also known as Connie Sue	
25	Rasmussen, also known as Connie Sue Hickman (Respondent). The Registered Nurse License	
26	expired on April 30, 2009, and has not been renewed.	
27		
28		

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Registered Nursing (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 2750 of the Business and Professions Code (Code) provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may discipline any licensee, including a licensee holding a temporary or an inactive license, for any reason provided in Article 3 (commencing with section 2750) of the Nursing Practice Act.
- 5. Section 2764 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee or to render a decision imposing discipline on the license. Under section 2811, subdivision (b), of the Code, the Board may renew an expired license at any time within eight years after the expiration.
- 6. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

7. Section 2761 of the Code states:

"The board may take disciplinary action against a certified or licensed nurse or deny an application for a certificate or license for any of the following:

- "(a) Unprofessional conduct, which includes, but is not limited to, the following:
- "(4) Denial of licensure, revocation, suspension, restriction, or any other disciplinary action against a health care professional license or certificate by another state or territory of the United States, by any other government agency, or by another California health care professional

licensing board. A certified copy of the decision or judgment shall be conclusive evidence of that action."

COST RECOVERY

8. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct – Out of State Discipline) (Bus. & Prof. Code § 2761, subd. (a)(4))

- 9. Respondent has subjected her registered nurse license to disciplinary action under Code section 2761, subdivision (a)(4), in that on or about November 18, 2009, in a disciplinary action before the Arizona State Board of Nursing (Arizona Board), Order No. 0810005, the Arizona Board entered an Order revoking Respondent's Arizona registered nurse license.
- 10. The underlying conduct supporting the Arizona Board's disciplinary order is that on or about October 8, 2008, the Board received information from a newspaper that criminal charges had been filed against Respondent. The charges were related to theft from an employer and her sentencing was pending in Tucson, Arizona. Based upon this information, the Board began an investigation. It was discovered that on or about October 2, 2008, an Information was filed in the United States District Court, District of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona, case number CR 08-1235-TUC-DCB (JCG), charging Respondent with theft of public money, mail fraud, and wire fraud, felonies. Respondent failed to report to the Arizona Board within 10 days of the charges being filed that she had been charged with felonies, as required by Arizona statute and rule.
- 11. On or about June 15, 2009, in the United States District Court, District of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona, case number CR 08-1235-TUC-DCB (JCG), Respondent was convicted by guilty plea of wire fraud, a class C felony; mail fraud, a class C felony; and theft of public money, a class C felony. Respondent was committed to a term of imprisonment followed by a period of supervised release. Respondent was also ordered to pay \$325,585.51 in restitution and to participate in substance abuse treatment. Respondent failed to report to the Arizona Board that

she was convicted of felonies within 10 days of her convictions, as required by Arizona statute and rule.

- 12. On or about October 10, 2008, Arizona Board staff mailed Respondent an "Investigative Questionnaire" regarding the criminal charges filed against her related to theft from an employer, for which sentencing was pending in Tucson, Arizona. On this same date, Respondent was also mailed an "Arrest and Conviction Questionnaire," with instructions to provide a written explanation and specific court and police records regarding each of her arrests, citations, or charges, by October 24, 2008. Respondent was notified that failure to cooperate with the Arizona Board by failing to furnish in writing a full and complete explanation regarding the matter would be unprofessional conduct and grounds for disciplinary action. Respondent failed to respond.
- 13. On or about March 4, 2009, Arizona Board staff mailed Respondent a second questionnaire with instructions to provide a written explanation and specific court and police records regarding each of her arrests, citations, or charges, by March 25, 2009. Respondent was notified that failure to cooperate with the Arizona Board by failing to furnish in writing a full and complete explanation regarding the matter would be unprofessional conduct and grounds for disciplinary action. Respondent failed to respond.
- 14. The Arizona Board concluded that Respondent's conduct constituted sufficient cause under Arizona law to suspend or revoke her license to practice as a registered nurse in the State of Arizona.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters alleged in this Accusation, and that following the hearing, the Board of Registered Nursing issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending Registered Nurse License Number 275797, issued to Connie Rasmussen Wilkinson, also known as Connie Sue Rasmussen, also known as Connie Sue Hickman;
- 2. Ordering Connie Rasmussen Wilkinson, also known as Connie Sue Rasmussen, also known as Connie Sue Hickman to pay the Board of Registered Nursing the reasonable costs of

- 1		
1	the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section	
2	125.3; and	
3	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.	
4		
5		
6	De Sain	
7	DATED: August 9, 2011 LOUISE R. BAILEY, M.ED., RN	
8	Executive Officer Board of Registered Nursing Deportment of Consumer Affeirs	
9	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California Complainant	
10	Сотранин	
11	SF2011900247	
12	90202316.doc	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22 23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
. 2	5	